

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/394,230	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GUNDERSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BJ Forman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) BJ Forman.

(2) Michael Tuscan.

**Status of Application:** rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*


**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Tuscan was contacted regarding the amendments 30 August. The response states that the claims are amended, however the claim listing does not reflect any amendments. Mr Tuscan stated that the claim listing is in error and a supplemental amendment will be submitted. No supplemental amendment has been received. Therefore a non-responsive letter is being mailed...

  
B. J. FORMAN, D.  
PRIMARY EXAMINER